Surface mass balance and water stable isotopes derived from firn cores on three ice rises, Fimbul Ice Shelf, Antarctica
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Abstract. Three shallow firn cores were retrieved in the austral summers of 2011/12 and 2013/14 on the ice rises Kupol Ciolkovskogo (KC), Kupol Moskovskij (KM), and Blåskimen Island (BI), all part of Fimbul Ice Shelf (FIS) in western Dronning Maud Land (DML), Antarctica. The cores were dated back to 1958 (KC), 1995 (KM), and 1996 (BI) by annual layer counting using high-resolution oxygen isotope (δ18O) data, and by identifying volcanic horizons using non-sea-salt sulfate (nssSO42−) data. The water stable isotope records show that the atmospheric signature of the annual snow accumulation cycle is well preserved in the firn column, especially at KM and BI. We are able to determine the annual surface mass balance (SMB), as well as the mean SMB values between identified volcanic horizons. Average SMB at the KM and BI sites (0.68 and 0.70 m w.e. yr−1) was higher than at the KC site (0.24 m w.e. yr−1), and there was greater temporal variability as well. Trends in the SMB and δ18O records from the KC core over the period of 1958–2012 agree well with other previously investigated cores in the area, thus the KC site could be considered the most representative of the climate of the region. Cores from KM and BI appear to be more affected by local meteorological conditions and surface topography. Our results suggest that the ice rises are suitable sites for the retrieval of longer firn and ice cores, but that BI has the best preserved seasonal cycles of the three records and is thus the most optimal site for high-resolution studies of temporal variability of the climate signal. Deuterium excess data suggest a possible effect of seasonal moisture transport changes on the annual isotopic signal. In agreement with previous studies, large-scale atmospheric circulation patterns most likely provide the dominant influence on water stable isotope ratios preserved at the core sites.

1 Introduction

The Antarctic ice sheet plays a major role in the global climate system; nevertheless, despite much recent attention, there are still many unresolved issues around both its mass balance and recent climate history, particularly in East Antarctica (IPCC, 2013). Estimating mass balance for the ice sheet from field data is made difficult by the logistical challenges of collecting in situ data, as well as the enormous size of the region. Interpretation of satellite data is complicated by the fact that most of the region is close to balance: even when combining several different methods, corrections for isostatic rebound and changes in firn density, relatively poorly known quantities in East Antarctica, can alter the overall mass-balance estimate from positive to negative (i.e. Shepherd et al., 2012; Zwally et al., 2015), although the results presented in the latter study are debated (Scambos and Shuman, 2016). Therefore, given the future projections of greenhouse gas emissions and the associated temperature rise, the onset of a possible significant contribution by
Antarctica to sea level rise is difficult to predict accurately (e.g. IPCC, 2013; DeConto and Pollard, 2016).

While the interior of the continent contains most of the ice volume, the coastal regions are the most vulnerable part of Antarctica with regard to climate warming. In addition to increasing atmospheric temperatures, changes in storm tracks, and the impact of warmer ocean currents penetrating further south, will all impact the future behaviour of the coastal ice.

The ice shelves surrounding Antarctica stabilise the grounded interior ice (e.g. Vaughan and Doake, 1996). There has been significant thinning and even disintegration of ice shelves over the last decades (e.g. Scambos et al., 2004; Shepherd et al., 2010; Pritchard et al., 2012; Paolo et al., 2015), leading to increased outflow of glaciers and ice streams that feed the shelves. Warmer ocean water has been identified as important to the ice shelf removal (e.g. Pritchard et al., 2012), highlighting the importance of the ice–ocean interactions, particularly at the grounding zone.

Ice rises and ice rumples are elevated small-scale topographic features on ice shelves, areas of grounded ice surrounded by floating ice. They buttress the ice shelves and represent an important part of the ice sheet complex (Paterson, 1994; Matsuoka et al., 2015). Ice flow on ice rises is typically independent of the surrounding ice shelf, with radial flow due to their dome-like morphology. Furthermore, ice velocities are generally low on ice rises; this fact, together with their relatively high surface mass balance (SMB) due to their location at the coast, make ice rises potentially useful sites for ice core studies. There are numerous ice rises along the rim of the Antarctic continent and few of them have been studied for the purpose of ice core drilling. For more details on ice rises we refer to a recent review paper by Matsuoka et al. (2015).

Antarctic ice and firn cores contain valuable information about the climate and chemical composition of the atmosphere. Numerous ice and firn cores have been drilled in Antarctica over the past decades. Ice core studies typically focus either on long timescales, such as the EPICA, Vostok, Dome Fuji, and WAIS Divide projects (e.g. Watanabe et al., 1999; EPICA community members, 2006; Wolff et al., 2010; WAIS Divide Project Members, 2013), or on spatial distribution of climate and glaciological parameters, e.g. within projects such as ITASE (Mayewski et al., 2005). Most studies are on ice cores drilled in the dry interior of Antarctica, where the SMB is low; there are far fewer studies of ice core records from the coastal regions, which are more sensitive to climatic changes than the interior of the continent. The higher SMB of coastal sites allows high-resolution records to be obtained, thus providing the possibility of comparing firn or ice core data to instrumental records available since the middle of the 20th century (e.g. Schlosser et al., 2014).

The primary overall goal of the project Ice Rises is to elucidate the mass-balance history of three ice rises in a section of Dronning Maud Land (DML) (Fig. 1) over the past several millennia. Understanding the past changes in their SMB, specifically during past warm anomalies, will eventually help to improve the understanding of the impact of the predicted future atmospheric and oceanic warming on the mass balance of the Antarctic ice sheet.

During two Antarctic field seasons, in the austral summer of 2011/12 and 2013/14, a number of glaciological field data were collected at three ice rises located in Fimbul Ice Shelf (FIS): Kupol Ciołkovskogo (KC), Kupol Moskovskij (KM), and Blâskimen Island (BI) (Fig. 1). In this paper we focus on the SMB and water isotope records obtained from these cores with emphasis on differences between the sites to evaluate their representativeness for the area. These data are important input to mass-balance models and can be used to assess the suitability of these coastal sites as possible drill locations for deeper ice core retrieval.

2 Field area

Fimbul Ice Shelf (Fig. 1) is one of many ice shelves along the coast of DML. It measures roughly 36 500 km² and is the largest ice shelf in the Haakon VII Sea. FIS is fed by the fast-flowing ice stream Jutulstraumen, which has an ice velocity of ∼ 1 km yr⁻¹ at the grounding line, some 200 km inland from the shelf edge (Melvold and Rolstad, 2000; Rolstad et al., 2000). Jutulstraumen is the largest outlet glacier in DML, draining an area of 124 000 km², and is, therefore, important to the mass balance of the ice shelf. FIS is comprised of a fast-moving part that extends from Jutulstraumen and protrudes into the sea, Trolltunga, surrounded by the slower-moving ice shelf proper. Trolltunga extends north across the narrow continental shelf separating the glaciated coast from the warm water of the coastal oceanic current, making it potentially vulnerable to basal melting (e.g. Hatterman et al., 2012).

A number of ice rises varying in size from 15 to 1200 km² are found in the ice shelf. The three ice rises investigated in this study are situated approximately 200 km from each other (Fig. 1). All ice rises are dome-shaped with elevations ranging from 260 to 400 m as.l. Ice radar studies at the core sites suggest ice depths from 350 to 460 m, while ice velocities from GPS measurements show values in the order of 2 m yr⁻¹ or less at the core sites (J. Brown, V. Goel, and K. Matsuoka, personal communication, 2016). The northern edges of KM and BI border the ocean, while KC is surrounded by the ice shelf (Fig. 1). During three field seasons (2011/12, 2012/13, 2013/14), radar, ice velocity, and stake data were collected, with the overall goal of studying the evolution of ice rise mass balance over time. Preliminary data analysis suggests that ice velocities across the ice rises are asymmetrical and that the SMB distribution is variable over the three ice rises (Brown et al., 2014).

The SMB of the ice rises is influenced by precipitation, wind erosion, and redeposition and by sublimation from the surface and from drifting snow. FIS, like most East Antarctic
ice shelves, is under the climatic influence of the circumpolar trough; precipitation comes mainly from frontal systems of cyclones moving eastwards, north of the coast, resulting in easterly or east-north-easterly surface winds (Schlosser et al., 2008). These events occur frequently, throughout the year. Precipitation amounts during an event depend on the temperature and humidity of the involved air masses, with moisture transport from lower latitudes leading to higher precipitation amounts than cyclogenesis in the polar ocean. However, the local meteorological conditions at the ice rises differ from the rest of the ice shelf: air temperatures are higher due to a weaker temperature inversion in winter, and wind speeds are higher due to the fact that the ice rises represent obstacles in the general atmospheric flow (Lenaerts et al., 2014). Studies have shown that the relative height of the obstacle compared to its horizontal dimensions, the wind speed, and the static stability of the atmosphere, determine whether there is more precipitation on the windward or lee side of the obstacle (Rotunno and Houze, 2007; Houze Jr., 2012). This refers to precipitation alone: redistribution of snow by wind can strongly influence the SMB of the ice rises; consequently, large differences in SMB are expected to be found over relatively short distances close to the ridge of the ice rise.

Previous work

The first scientific work in the study area was conducted during the British–Norwegian–Swedish Antarctic Expedition in 1949–1952, in which detailed descriptions of both the geology and morphology of the Jutulstraumen basin were made, including the ice sheet and ice shelf (Swithinbank, 1957). Work in the area was continued during the International Geophysical Year (IGY) 1956/57, at the Norway Station (later renamed SANAE), on the western edge of the ice shelf between 1956 and 1960 (Lunde, 1961; Neethling, 1970). In the last three decades Norwegian groups have worked on FIS and Jutulstraumen under the auspices of the Norwegian Antarctic Research Expedition (NARE), focusing on spatial and temporal variability of SMB using shallow firn cores and Ground Penetrating Radar (GPR) (e.g. Melvold et al., 1998; Melvold, 1999; Isaksson and Melvold, 2002; Sinisalo et al., 2013; Schlosser et al., 2012, 2014).

As part of the EPICA project, a 100 m-deep ice core (labelled S100) was drilled on the eastern part of FIS during NARE 2000/01 (Fig. 1). This core covers the period AD 1737–2000 ± 3 years and shows higher SMB values in the 19th century than in the 18th and 20th centuries, but otherwise no significant trends (Kaczmarska et al., 2004). This core is the longest available high-resolution climate record from this part of coastal DML.

Rotschky et al. (2007) compiled a SMB map for western DML, including FIS, but data were not available to resolve fine-scale variability in the area of the ice rises. More recently, Sinisalo et al. (2013) and Lenaerts et al. (2014) used field and model data to show that the ice rises have a substantial role in shaping both local SMB and meteorological conditions. Finally, Altnau et al. (2015) compile available oxygen stable isotopes and SMB data for the last three decades; they find a negative SMB trend for the coastal regions, but a positive trend on the polar plateau over the same time period. They conclude that atmospheric dynamic effects are more important at the coast than thermodynamics, the latter being the dominant factor on the polar plateau, where changes in SMB and stable isotope ratios occur mostly in parallel.
Table 1. Core locations, sampling details, SMB rates derived from the KC, KM, and BI δ\(^{18}\)O records as annual average values between summer maxima. Median water stable isotope deltas (in ‰) quantified in each core are also shown. Distances from the core locations to the ice shelf edge were obtained using the GIS package Quantarctica (www.quantarctica.org). Both annual layer counting and volcanic horizons identified in the non-sea-sulphate (nssSO\(^{2-}\)) were used to obtain timescales for the cores. Significant values (at 95 % confidence level) are shown in bold. (\(^{a}\), \(^{b}\)) refers to Schlosser et al. (2012, 2014), (\(^{c}\)) to Kaczmarska et al. (2004), and (\(^{d}\)) to Divine et al. (2009).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Elevation (m a.s.l.)</th>
<th>Ice temp. from the ice shelf edge</th>
<th>Core length (m)</th>
<th>Shortest distance from the ice shelf edge (km)</th>
<th>Time coverage (years)</th>
<th>Average SMB rate (m w.e. yr(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Slope of the linear regression of δ(^{18})O (°)</th>
<th>Median δ(^{18})O (‰)</th>
<th>Slope of the linear regression of δ(^{2})H (°)</th>
<th>Median δ(^{2})H (°)</th>
<th>Average δ(^{18})O from the ice (min., max.)</th>
<th>Median δ(^{18})O from the ice (min., max.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KC</td>
<td>70°31' S, 2°57' E</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>20.0 (460)</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1958–2012 (±3)</td>
<td>0.24 (0.11, 0.45)</td>
<td>-0.002 (±7 × 10(^{-4}))</td>
<td>-19.4 (±0.04)</td>
<td>-0.004 (±0.01)</td>
<td>-150.2 (4.8)</td>
<td>-17.5 (0.01)</td>
<td>-19.4 (0.004)</td>
<td>-0.004 (±0.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KM</td>
<td>70°8' S, 1°12' E</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>19.6 (410)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1995–2014 (±1)</td>
<td>0.68 (0.39, 0.95)</td>
<td>0.004 (±9 × 10(^{-3}))</td>
<td>-17.5 (0.03)</td>
<td>-133.6 (5.9)</td>
<td>-133.6 (5.9)</td>
<td>-17.5 (0.03)</td>
<td>-133.6 (5.9)</td>
<td>-133.6 (5.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BI</td>
<td>70°24' S, 3°2' W</td>
<td>394</td>
<td>19.5 (460)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1996–2014 (±1)</td>
<td>0.70 (0.40, 1.21)</td>
<td>0.006 (±1 × 10(^{-2}))</td>
<td>-17.6 (0.03)</td>
<td>-134.5 (6.3)</td>
<td>-134.5 (6.3)</td>
<td>-17.6 (0.03)</td>
<td>-134.5 (6.3)</td>
<td>-134.5 (6.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2(^{a})</td>
<td>70°19' S, 0°7' W</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>10.0 (–)</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>1981–2009 (–)</td>
<td>0.32 (–)</td>
<td>-0.002 (±4 × 10(^{-3}))</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G3(^{a})</td>
<td>69°49' S, 0°37' W</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>17.5 (–)</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1993–2009 (–)</td>
<td>0.30 (–)</td>
<td>-0.001 (±8 × 10(^{-3}))</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4(^{a})</td>
<td>70°54' S, 0°24' W</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>16.7 (–)</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>1983–2009 (–)</td>
<td>0.33 (–)</td>
<td>-0.008 (±3 × 10(^{-3}))</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G5(^{a})</td>
<td>70°33' S, 0°2' W</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>14.5 (–)</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>1983–2009 (–)</td>
<td>0.30 (–)</td>
<td>-0.003 (±4 × 10(^{-3}))</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Composite core\(^{a,b}\) (M2, G3, G4, G5)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Elevation (m a.s.l.)</th>
<th>Ice temp. from the ice shelf edge</th>
<th>Core length (m)</th>
<th>Shortest distance from the ice shelf edge (km)</th>
<th>Time coverage (years)</th>
<th>Average SMB rate (m w.e. yr(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Slope of the linear regression of δ(^{18})O (°)</th>
<th>Median δ(^{18})O (‰)</th>
<th>Slope of the linear regression of δ(^{2})H (°)</th>
<th>Median δ(^{2})H (°)</th>
<th>Average δ(^{18})O from the ice (min., max.)</th>
<th>Median δ(^{18})O from the ice (min., max.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S100(^{a,d})</td>
<td>70°14' S, 4°48' E</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>100 (–)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1737–1999 (–)</td>
<td>0.30 (–)</td>
<td>d (d)</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Overlapping period 1996–2012

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Elevation (m a.s.l.)</th>
<th>Ice temp. from the ice shelf edge</th>
<th>Core length (m)</th>
<th>Shortest distance from the ice shelf edge (km)</th>
<th>Time coverage (years)</th>
<th>Average SMB rate (m w.e. yr(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Slope of the linear regression of δ(^{18})O (°)</th>
<th>Median δ(^{18})O (‰)</th>
<th>Slope of the linear regression of δ(^{2})H (°)</th>
<th>Median δ(^{2})H (°)</th>
<th>Average δ(^{18})O from the ice (min., max.)</th>
<th>Median δ(^{18})O from the ice (min., max.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KC</td>
<td>70°31' S, 2°57' E</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>20.0 (460)</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1958–2012 (±3)</td>
<td>0.24 (0.11, 0.45)</td>
<td>-0.007 (±5 × 10(^{-3}))</td>
<td>-19.1 (±0.09)</td>
<td>-0.27 (±0.09)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>-19.1 (±0.09)</td>
<td>-0.27 (±0.09)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KM</td>
<td>70°8' S, 1°12' E</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>19.6 (410)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1995–2014 (±1)</td>
<td>0.68 (0.39, 0.95)</td>
<td>0.01 (±0.01)</td>
<td>-17.4 (±0.04)</td>
<td>0.01 (±0.04)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>-17.4 (±0.04)</td>
<td>0.01 (±0.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BI</td>
<td>70°24' S, 3°2' W</td>
<td>394</td>
<td>19.5 (460)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1996–2014 (±1)</td>
<td>0.70 (0.40, 1.21)</td>
<td>0.01 (±0.01)</td>
<td>-17.2 (±0.05)</td>
<td>0.03 (±0.05)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>-17.2 (±0.05)</td>
<td>0.03 (±0.05)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3 Methods

3.1 Sampling

Three shallow (ca. 20 m) firn cores were retrieved at FIS (Fig. 1, Table 1) in January 2012 (KC) and January 2014 (KM and BI) during field expeditions organised by the Norwegian Polar Institute (NPI). Table 1 presents the location of the drill sites, maximum elevation of the ice rises, and recovered core lengths. Each core was drilled from the bottom of a 2 m snow pit; the pit wall was sampled at 5 cm inter-
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vals for water stable isotope analysis. Bulk core density was determined for each subcore piece (average length ∼45 cm) and for each snow pit sample (20 cm). Snow and firn samples were collected following clean protocols (Twickler and Whitlow, 1997), shipped frozen to NPI, and later to the Paul Scherrer Institute (PSI), Switzerland, for cutting and chemical analysis. Sample length ranged from 4 to 8 cm, depending on the sample depth and density. The presence and thickness of ice lenses were recorded during cold room analysis of the KC core. Major ions (methane sulfonic acid, MSA), SO$_4^{2-}$, and Na$^+$ were analysed at PSI. Subsamples for water stable isotopes analysis were shipped to the Institute of Geology at Tallinn University of Technology (TUT), Estonia.

3.2 Water stable isotopes and major ion analyses

Water stable isotope ratios ($^{18}$O/$^{16}$O and $^2$H/$^1$H) were measured at TUT using a Picarro L2120-i water isotope analyser (cavity ring-down spectroscopy technology) with a high-precision A0211 vaporiser. Measurements were calibrated against both the Vienna Standard Mean Ocean Water (VSMOW) and the Vienna Standard Light Antarctic Precipitation (VSLAP) standards. Reproducibility of $\delta^{18}$O and $\delta^2$H measurements was ±0.1 and ±1‰ (for 4–6 replicate measurements), respectively. Measuring both oxygen and hydrogen water stable isotopes in the ice rises cores yields deuterium excess ($d = \delta^2H - 8\delta^{18}O$).

Major ions (MSA, SO$_4^{2-}$, and Na$^+$, Table 2) were analysed at PSI using a Metrohm ProfIC 850 ion chromatography system combined with an 872 Extension Module and autosampler. The precision of the method was around 5% for all ions (Wendl et al., 2015). In this study we use records of major ions Na$^+$ and MSA to corroborate the dating of the three cores (see Sect. 4.1), performed by identifying seasonal cycles in the oxygen isotope record; a detailed palaeoenvironmental analysis at the ice rise sites using the ion data is the subject of a separate paper in progress.

4 Results

4.1 Dating of the firn cores

Due to higher accumulation rates, the $\delta^{18}$O seasonal variability in the KM and BI cores is better defined than at the more inland KC site (Fig. 2), and dating uncertainty for the KM and BI cores is, therefore, lower. Dating of the firn cores is performed by annual layer counting, using the seasonality of the water stable isotope signal. Since the KM and BI cores were drilled from the bottom of a snow pit (0.9 m w.e.), the snow pit data are used to reconstruct the period between winter 2012 and summer 2014. Winter minima and summer maxima in the $\delta^{18}$O record are identified to obtain a timescale with subannual resolution. Assuming a uniform distribution of precipitation throughout the year, an equidistant timescale is adapted between the summer maxima (January) and the winter minima (July). Well-pronounced seasonal cycles of major ion concentrations (e.g. MSA and Na$^+$, Fig. 3) are used to corroborate the dating. Based on annual layer counting, the KM and BI cores cover the periods from winter 1995 to summer 2014 and winter 1996 to summer 2014, respectively. The error in the dating is estimated as ±1 year for both of these cores.

Counting $\delta^{18}$O winter minima in the KC core is not as straightforward as for the KM and BI cores, due to the lower accumulation and the lower amplitude of the seasonal signal (Fig. 2a). Using $\delta^{18}$O snow pit data available for the surface layers at KC (Fig. 2a), a SMB of 0.19 m.w.e. yr$^{-1}$ is

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Median Period</th>
<th>MSA (µmol L$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>SO$_4^{2-}$ (µmol L$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Na$^+$ (µmol L$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KC</td>
<td>1958–2007</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KM</td>
<td>1995–2014</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BI</td>
<td>1996–2014</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2. $\delta^{18}$O–depth profiles of (a) KC, (b) KM, and (c) BI. The blue lines indicate the $\delta^{18}$O values for the 2 m snow pits at each core site. Seasonal variations are used to date the KM and BI cores; horizontal lines mark the summer maxima inferred in the KC core and identified in the KM and BI cores.
estimated for the period 2007–2011. Accordingly, when interpreting the seasonal variability of the δ18O stratigraphy, this mean SMB 2007–2011 value was considered a guideline. Counting the δ18O winter minima in the deeper section of the core suggested 1958 as the date for the bottom of the core (12.93 m.w.e.). Using the identified winter minima, we can identify tentative depths for summer maxima (Fig. 2a). Most of the horizontal dashed lines in Fig. 2a coincide with maxima in the δ18O, indicating a good estimate of the annual cycle using winter minima and SMB 2007–2011 as a reference.

Furthermore, volcanic horizons are used to corroborate the dating and estimate the dating uncertainty. We use maxima (values above the mean + 2σ level) in the non-sea-salt sulfate (nssSO4²⁻) concentrations of the KC core to identify volcanic horizons (Fig. 4). NssSO4²⁻ was calculated from the mean seawater composition using Na⁺ as standard ion.

\[
\text{nssSO}_4^{2-} = \left[ \text{SO}_4^{2-} \right]_{\text{total}} - k \times \left[ \text{Na}^+ \right]_{\text{total}},
\]

where

\[
k = \frac{\left[ \text{SO}_4^{2-} \right]_{\text{seawater}}}{\left[ \text{Na}^+ \right]_{\text{seawater}}} = 0.06,
\]

when ion concentrations are in µmol L⁻¹. Peaks in nssSO4²⁻ are assigned to known volcanic eruptions that could alter snow composition at the drilling site, using the Volcanic Explosivity Index (VEI) (Global Volcanism Program, Smithsonian National Museum of Natural History, http://www.volcano.si.edu/). The VEI is a relative measure of the explo-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Peak no.</th>
<th>Bottom depth m w.e.</th>
<th>Year in the cycle-counting timescale</th>
<th>Assigned volcano (year of eruption)</th>
<th>SMB rate m.w.e. yr$^{-1}$ (period)</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1a</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>1993.9</td>
<td>Pinatubo, Philippines (1991)</td>
<td>0.21 (1991–2011)</td>
<td>1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>1992.8</td>
<td>Cerro Hudson, Chile (1991)</td>
<td>0.21 (1991–2011)</td>
<td>6, 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>6.41</td>
<td>1982.8</td>
<td>El Chichón, Mexico (1982)</td>
<td>0.26 (1982–1990)</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>10.20</td>
<td>1968.3</td>
<td>Deception island, Antarctic Peninsula (1967)</td>
<td>0.16 (1967–1969)</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>11.91</td>
<td>1961.7</td>
<td>Agung, Indonesia (1963)</td>
<td>0.28 (1960–1966)</td>
<td>10, 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>12.64</td>
<td>1959.1</td>
<td>Carran-Los Venados, Chile (1955)</td>
<td>0.33 (1959)</td>
<td>3, 11, 12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5. Annual SMB for KC, KM, and BI cores compared to S100 (Kaczmarska et al., 2004) and the composite FIS core record (Schlosser et al., 2014). The dashed lines are the linear regression for the entire period covered by the respective cores.

4.2 Surface mass balance

Annual SMB in the cores was calculated from distances between summer maxima in the $\delta^{18}$O record (Fig. 5, Table 1). The average annual SMB for the full period covered by the KC, KM, and BI cores is estimated to be 0.24, 0.68, and 0.70 m.w.e., and the average SMB for the common period covered by all three cores (1996–2012), is 0.21, 0.70, and 0.71 m.w.e., respectively. The lowest inferred annual SMB values at KC, KM, and BI were 0.11 m.w.e.yr$^{-1}$ (1986),
Figure 6. (a) Number of ice lenses per metre (bars), ice lens thickness (stems), and density profiles (line) available at KC. Panels (b, c, d) are the same as (a) but with the $\delta^{18}$O, MSA, and nssSO$_4^{2-}$ profiles (lines) instead of density.

Figure 7. Water stable isotope data for KC, KM, and BI: (a) $\delta^{18}$O, (b) $\delta^2$H, (c) deuterium excess ($d$).
Figure 8. Seasonal variations in $d$ (black) and $\delta^{18}O$ (red) in cores (a) KM and (b) BI. Dashed lines show $\pm \sigma$.

Figure 9. Mean annual $\delta^{18}O$ for KC, KM, and BI compared to S100 (Divine et al., 2009) and the composite FIS core record (Schlosser et al., 2014). The dashed lines are the linear regression for the entire period covered by the respective cores.

In all three cores, there are ice layers of varying thickness, indicating that melt occurs several times per year. We have no evidence, however, for mass transport between annual layers. Figure 6 shows the number of ice lenses and thickness related to density, $\delta^{18}O$, MSA, and nssSO$_4^{2-}$ concentrations in the KC core. There is no direct correspondence between SMB, $\delta^{18}O$, and the ice layers in the core from KC (Fig. 6), in agreement with previous results from the core S100 (Kaczmarska et al., 2006). We compare melt features found in the KC core with the MSA and nssSO$_4^{2-}$ profiles, but do not find a systematic association between ice lenses and anomalies in the MSA or nssSO$_4^{2-}$ concentrations, as we could expect from redistribution of ions by meltwater percolation and refreezing. Some correspondence exists between the thickest ice layers and peaks in the nssSO$_4^{2-}$ record (e.g. at 21, 20, 18, and 13 m, Fig. 6) but there are no such peaks in the MSA record, as would be expected for an ion that is just as readily eluted as nssSO$_4^{2-}$. Therefore, while redistribution of ions by meltwater cannot be ruled out, it is not likely a dominant post-depositional effect that would significantly influence the seasonal isotopic or chemical signals at the core sites. It is more likely that the development of ice lenses is a local process depending on several factors, including air and snow pack temperatures, and that the combination of post-depositional processes, such as wind scouring, contribute to the perturbation of the subannual signal in the KC core site.

In general, SMB at the sites closest to the coast, KM and BI, is higher than at KC. The topography of the individual ice rises is a key determining factor. While KM and BI are relatively symmetrical domes, KC is more elongated, with a ridge axis stretching from SW to NE (Fig. 1). Therefore, air transported from the NNE during a precipitation event is lifted over a longer and gentler slope at KC than at KM and BI, which can lead to a weaker influence of topography than on the steeper slopes of KM and BI. Wind redistribution is critical for accumulation patterns. Networks of stake measurements across KC and KM show an uneven snow distribution, with 3-fold higher accumulation on the lowest-elevation upwind side, compared to the summit (Lenaerts et al., 2014). This spatial pattern is well replicated with the regional atmospheric climate model RACMO2, although an accurate
DEM is critical in such comparisons. Our results suggest that the differences in accumulation at KM and BI compared to KC and the other core sites at FIS, are most likely related to topographical effects. This can be further explored by referring to the study by Altnau et al. (2015) which presents a vast coverage of SMB and δ18O for coastal and inland DML. By inspecting Fig. 2 in Altnau et al. (2015), it can be observed that high annual SMB values, similar to those measured at the KM and BI sites, occur in locations associated with pronounced topographic features, e.g. mountain ranges and troughs, i.e. anywhere where orographic lift may induce precipitation in comparison to the flat areas in the proximities.

Previous studies from coastal sites in the same area of DML have reported large temporal and spatial SMB variability (Melvold, 1999; Kaczmarska et al., 2004; Schlosser et al., 2014). The SMB records from the KM and BI cores reveal high interannual variability and no significant long-term trend during the period 1995(1996)–2014. At the more inland KC site, SMB variability is lower, but there is also a weak, yet significant (at 95 % confidence level) negative SMB trend during the period 1995(1996)–2014. At the more inland KC site, SMB variability is lower, but there is also a weak, yet significant (at 95 % confidence level) negative SMB trend of −0.002 m w.e. yr−1 for the period 1958–2012. This is in agreement with previous SMB studies done in the whole region of western DML (Isaksson and Melvold, 2002; Kaczmarska et al., 2004; Divine et al., 2009; Schlosser et al., 2014), which also document a negative trend in SMB during the 20th century. A comparison between annual SMB calculated in the cores taken at KC, KM, BI, S100 (Kaczmarska et al., 2004), and a composite core constructed averaging the annual SMB from four firm cores (M2, G3, G4, and G5) retrieved at Trolltunga and Jutulstraumen (Schlosser et al., 2014) (Table 1), is shown in Fig. 5 (individual SMB profiles of the cores conforming the composite are shown in Fig. 5a in the Supplement). Overall, SMB values from the KM and BI cores are higher than for the KC, S100 cores, and the composite record. The present study is among the few that also includes deuterium, and the first involving such data from FIS. It is difficult to reliably determine the seasonal cycle of d for the analysed cores because we cannot date the cores accurately at the subannual level, post-depositional processes such as water vapour diffusion in the firm column may alter the d profile, and, finally, the d time series are relatively short. Nevertheless, we use the derived age models to estimate the intrannual variability in d for the two higher-resolution cores at KM and BI. The results (Fig. 8) suggest that the absolute values and magnitudes of the seasonal cycle of d are in reasonable agreement with observed and modelled d at other coastal Antarctic ice core locations (Schlosser et al., 2008; Inoue et al., 2016; Schoenenmann and Steig, 2016). At both KM and BI, maximum values for d occur in austral autumn, preceding the corresponding seasonal minima in δ18O by 3–4 months and, most likely, air temperatures (Fig. 8). On the other hand, fresh snow sampling at nearby Neumayer Station suggested a spring maximum for d (Schlosser et al., 2008). We note, however, that, because of the method we use to construct the core chronologies, the seasonal curve of δ18O is fit to have a maximum (minimum) in the first (sixth) month of the year. Nonetheless, our timescale does not alter the fact that there is a lag between d and δ18O peaks. Such an offset is also reported at coastal locations by Schlosser et al. (2008).
and Inoue et al. (2016), and contradicts the conventional interpretation of winter maxima in $d$ being the result of shifting moisture-source regions to higher latitudes (e.g. Delmotte et al., 2000; Pfahl and Sodemann, 2014).

Recent studies show that the relationship between $d$ and moisture source parameters is more complex than previously thought since $d$ is also strongly sensitive to both equilibrium and kinetic fractionation during precipitation formation (Steen-Larsen et al., 2014; Dittmann et al., 2016; Schoene-mann and Steig, 2016). The $d$ measured in precipitation is controlled by different processes, exerting opposite effects. We hypothesise that the observed $d$ to $\delta^{18}O$ offsets for coastal locations, which have a relatively mild climate compared to the plateau, occur due to the effects of high-latitude moisture entrainment during winter. The influence of local moisture sources with low $d$ may outweigh the thermal effects on equilibrium and kinetic fractionation during precipitation. However, the seasonal balance of these effects is likely site specific and the lack of studies on $d$ controls at coastal locations precludes us from proposing a definitive explanation to this phenomenon.

High-correlation coefficients between the annual $d$ and austral spring to summer SAM indices of $-0.55$ (significant at the 95% confidence level) for KM and $-0.33$ (not significant) for BI cores point to the possible role of seasonal changes in moisture transport and precipitation in the area in shaping the annual isotopic signal. A positive SAM index is generally associated with stronger zonal westerlies and comparatively little exchange of moisture and energy between middle and high latitudes (Marshall et al., 2013; Schlosser et al., 2016), hence increasing the contribution of local, less depleted of $\delta^{18}O$, moisture sources in precipitation (Noone and Simmonds, 2002). Decreased meridional southward moisture transport during the positive SAM phase may vary the annual moisture balance towards a higher fraction of local spring and summer moisture. Compared to moisture originating from more remote lower-latitude sources, local sources in spring and summer typically have lower $d$ values, leading to generally negative annual $d$ anomalies preserved in the snow. The multidecadal positive trend in SAM (e.g. Marshall, 2003), which is especially pronounced for austral summers, may in turn drive the weak negative trend of $0.1\%$-decade$^{-1}$ (not significant at the 95% confidence level) in $d$ detected in the longer KC core, also contributing to an observed positive trend in $\delta^{18}O$ in the regional core network.

Figure 9 shows the mean annual $\delta^{18}O$ for the KC, KM, and BI cores compared to the S100 and composite core from Schlosser et al. (2014) (individual $\delta^{18}O$ profiles of the cores conforming the composite are shown in Fig. 5b). Overall, annual $\delta^{18}O$ values at the three ice rise cores are higher than at S100 or for the composite core. However, both the inferred multiannual means and the standard deviations of $\delta^{18}O$ for the three ice rise cores fall within the typical range of variability for other cores from the coastal DML (Altnau et al., 2015).

The positive linear trends in $\delta^{18}O$ observed in the KC and BI cores also agree well with linear trends reported for the S100 and FIS composite cores (Kaczmarska et al., 2004; Divine et al., 2009; Schlosser et al., 2014). However, none of the linear trends observed in the KC, KM or BI cores are significant at the 95% confidence level. Similar to earlier studies (e.g. Schlosser et al., 2014) no correlation is found between $\delta^{18}O$ of the ice rise cores and measured air temperature at Neumayer Station, the closest station suitable for comparison. Neumayer is situated on a small ice shelf, with synoptic conditions similar to FIS; no temporal trend is found in air temperature since the founding of the station in 1981. Likewise, no relationship between stable isotopes and SMB is seen in the ice rise cores (compare with Fig. 5). This confirms previous studies, which find poor correspondence between SMB and proxy temperatures, suggesting that it is large-scale atmospheric circulation rather than the thermodynamic relationship between SMB and temperature that is the determining factor here. This was also found in a recent study by Fudge et al. (2016), who investigated the temperature-SMB relationship using data from the WAI5 Divide ice core.

5 Discussion and conclusions

Hitherto, small ice rises in Antarctica have not been fully utilised as ice core sites. Based on the data presented we conclude that the stratigraphic records of water stable isotopes and major ions (in particular MSA and Na$^+$) are well preserved during the last decades so that reliable annual dating can be performed, especially in the KM and BI sites. Neither the stratigraphy nor the chemistry profiles in the cores suggest that there is substantial surface melting or percolation at these sites, which would perturb the stratigraphic signal. On the other hand, the KC core presents less well-preserved annual cycles than the KM and BI cores. Melt features in the KC core, i.e. the number of ice lenses, ice lens thickness, and density profiles (Fig. 6), show that most ice lenses are thinner than 1 cm, with the thickest being 1.5 cm. In terms of ice content per metre of firm, the KC core has in average no more than 3% of ice per metre during the period 1958–2012; therefore, it is likely that a combination of post-depositional effects (e.g. wind scouring), is affecting the subannual record at this site leading to the lack of well-preserved seasonal cycles, although the site is still adequate for obtaining core chronologies by combining annual layer counting and the identification of volcanic layers.

Considering the above, the core timescales were constructed based on annual layer counting of $\delta^{18}O$ (KC, KM, and BI) together with the identification of volcanic layers using the nssSO$_4^{2-}$ record (KC). These approaches appear to provide reliable methods for dating these firm cores involving dating errors of ±1 year (KM and BI) and ±3 years (KC). The SMB records from the different sites show that topography likely leads to local effects that are superimposed on
the regional climate signal. This is particularly the case for the KM and BI ice rise sites, which have much higher SMB (hence higher variability) than the KC, S100, and composite cores, with trends also opposing the findings from the other records. It is, therefore, of great importance to further investigate whether the data from the KM and BI ice rises have also a regional significance.

The longest SMB record, from KC, is in general agreement with other regional ice core records (S100 and the composite core), and shows that the negative trend observed during the 20th century in the longer S100 core retrieved nearby (Fig. 1) continues during the first decade of the 21st century. This decrease in SMB since the 1980s has been proposed to be related to diminishing meridional moisture flux and, consequently, a decrease in precipitation and SMB at FIS (Schlosser et al., 2014). The most commonly used Antarctic SMB maps (e.g. Arthern et al., 2006; Monaghan et al., 2006; Lenaerts et al., 2012) all have a resolution that is too low to properly incorporate the high variability that ice rises induces.

The first data available for d at FIS point to a possible role of seasonal moisture transport changes and precipitation in shaping the annual isotopic signal at the area, as inferred from the high correlation found between annual d in the KM and BI cores and austral spring-to-summer SAM indices. When considering the factors behind the water stable isotope values, the poor correspondence between SMB and proxy temperature derived from water stable isotopes suggests that large-scale atmospheric circulation patterns are the determining factors for isotope ratios, in agreement with previous studies at FIS (Schlosser et al., 2014). Due to the restricted length of the KM and BI cores, further analysis of the spatial and temporal differences of SMB and water stable isotopes at these ice rises in a climatic context would be speculative. However, the ice rises coring sites show potential for investigating past variations in water stable isotopes given on the well-preserved profiles, with annual to biannual resolution at the KC site, and subannual resolution at the KM and BI sites.

In summary, the ice rises are suitable drilling sites for the retrieval of longer cores if local influences are kept in mind when reconstructing the past climate and environmental signals recorded in the cores. The KM and BI sites are suitable for retrieving high-resolution (i.e. subannual timescales) ice core records due to their high accumulation rates and well-preserved physical and chemical properties, bearing in mind that they may also be strongly affected by local snow deposition patterns. On the other hand, the KC location could be considered the most representative for the climate of the area, even if it is not possible to obtain subannual dating due to the lower annual snow accumulation at that site. Since drifting snow processes are of major importance on ice rises, detailed knowledge of both topography and the spatial pattern of SMB are required for deciding possible future ice core locations. Consequently, the three ice rises investigated here offer attractive locations for the retrieval of longer ice cores that would contribute to elucidate the climate and environmental history of FIS, and to infer its role in a changing climate.

6 Data availability

For the SMB, water stable isotopes, and chemistry profiles of the KC, KM, BI, S100, and FIS composite cores, please contact E. Isaksson (elisabeth.isaksson@npolar.no).

Information about the Volcanic Explosivity Index (VEI) ia available at http://volcano.si.edu/ (Global Volcanism Program, 2016).


The Supplement related to this article is available online at doi:10.5194/tc-10-2763-2016-supplement.
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