Numerical simulations and observations of the role of katabatic winds in the creation and maintenance of Scharffenbergbotnen blue ice area, Antarctica
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Abstract. We model the role of katabatic winds in the formation and maintenance of a blue ice area in Scharffenbergbotnen valley, Antarctica, using the finite element code Elmer. The high-horizontal-resolution (50–200 m) numerical simulations of the local wind flow from katabatic wind fronts show high spatial variability in wind-impact patterns and good congruence between places with high near-surface wind speeds and the blue ice area. In addition we perform wind simulations on an altered glacier geometry that resembles the thicker ice cover at the Late Glacial Maximum (LGM). These simulations indicate that the pronounced spatial wind-impact patterns depend on present-day geometry and did not occur during the LGM. This leads to the conclusion that the formation of the inner blue ice area of the Scharffenbergbotnen valley started only after the lowering of the ice surface, i.e. after the LGM. Experiments with smoothed surface topography suggest that detailed positions of the high wind regions, and hence individual blue ice fields, may have varied as the ice sheet lowered. The simulation results obtained with the present-day geometry were fortuitously confirmed by the destruction of a field camp located in a high-wind-speed area and its subsequent redistribution to low-velocity areas. The experiments and the field observations are consistent with localized violent katabatic events rather than synoptic-scale storms, playing the dominant role in the formation and maintenance of this and perhaps many blue ice areas.

1 Introduction

A blue ice area (BIA) is a snow-free ablation zone where the surface ice reflects a blueish colour. These areas cover about 1 % of the entire surface area of Antarctica (Sinisalo and Moore, 2010). At relatively low-elevation BIAs, summer temperatures are sufficiently high to allow surface and internal melting, which is of great practical importance for water supply to field stations and landing strips for wheeled aircraft. The lower surface roughness of ice compared with snow-covered surfaces allows winds to preferentially remove any freshly fallen snow from them. At higher altitudes, typically above 1000 m a.s.l. (Bintanja, 1999), melt does not occur even in summertime, and BIAs are then maintained and created simply by the occurrence of strong winds over them. It is one of these high-elevation BIAs that is object of study in this paper. The snow–ice boundaries of BIAs appear to be remarkably stable on multi-year timescales (Giaever, 1969), which indicates that repeating meteorological conditions must play a role in maintaining them (Bintanja, 1999; Sinisalo et al., 2004; Sinisalo, 2007). Old ice can often be found at the surface of some BIAs. If a good understanding of the ice dynamics were available, then the easily accessible ancient ice would be of great interest for palaeoclimatic studies (Bintanja, 1999; Sinisalo et al., 2004; Moore et al., 2006; Sinisalo and Moore, 2010).

BIAs are hence typically located in areas where the local wind speed is occasionally high – either by association with
mountain turbulence or in regions of strong katabatic wind flow. Here we investigate to what extent the surrounding terrain contributes to a local increase in wind-impact velocity above the well-studied BIAs in the inner region of Scharffenbergbotnen (SBB) valley, Dronning Maud Land, Antarctica (located approximately at 74.56° S, 11.05° W), and compare the wind distribution patterns with the equilibrium surface mass balance distribution computed by Zwinger et al. (2014). SBB is located about 300 km inland, in the Heimefront mountain range of Dronning Maud Land (see Fig. 1). The dominant easterly wind direction can be clearly seen from the prominent wind drift tails behind exposed rocks. A geomorphological map of the SBB valley is presented in Fig. 2. The map shows three distinguishable BIAs, one on the north-western side at the entrance of the valley and two inside the SBB valley, separated by a moraine. The two BIAs inside the valley have been the subject of various studies of meteorological (Bintanja and Reijmer, 2001), glaciological (Sinisalo and Moore, 2010) and geomorphological nature (Hättestrand and Johansen, 2005).

Numerous previous studies of winds over Antarctica have adopted simplified equations and worked on large spatial domains, ignoring small-scale details and only resolving large-scale flow patterns. A hydrostatic three-dimensional primitive equation model with a resolution of 20 km was presented by Bromwich et al. (1994) for simulations on winter katabatic winds crossing the Siple coast and the Ross ice shelf. Gallée and Schayes (1994) proposed a similar model with a horizontal resolution of approximately 5 km. A relatively simple simulation of snow flow affected by katabatic winds was presented by Gallée (1998); with a horizontal resolution of 40 km, the model domain covered the entire Antarctic ice sheet. The study by Yu et al. (2005) on katabatic jumps, where an open channel katabatic flow rapidly transforms to a calm flow, was implemented on a uniform grid spacing of 3.5 km, 700 and 175 m along with an assumption of a two-dimensional katabatic flow and a rather smooth geometry. Skyllingstad (2003) in contrast used a large eddy simulation (LES) to investigate the small-scale dynamics of gravity-driven incompressible flows on a simple linearly decreasing smooth slope with a resolution of approximately 0.75 m. Axelsen and van Dop (2009) applied LES to simulations of steady-state quasi-one-dimensional buoyancy-driven slope winds over glaciers.

We deploy variational multiscale (VMS) stabilization with the finite element (FE) method to study the local wind fields above the valley at SBB. To our knowledge this is the first high-resolution Navier–Stokes governed simulation of local wind fields above BIAs. The domain size used for our modelling of the complex terrain in SBB is 10^3 times larger than in Skyllingstad (2003), and our minimal horizontal resolution is \( h_{\text{min}} \approx 50 \text{ m} \).

First we present observational evidence that the mechanism responsible for creating and maintaining the inner BIA at SBB is to be found in easterly katabatic wind fronts in Sect. 2. This provides motivation for the following analy-
In Sect. 3 we present the governing equations including a brief introduction to the VMS turbulence model in Sect. “variational multiscale method”. This will be followed in Sect. 4 by a discussion of the specific model set-up for the simulations of SBB area. Section 5 presents and discusses the results obtained for different flow profiles as well as altered geometries. The conclusions from these results are presented in Sect. 6.

2 Case study of observed violent wind event

In January 2007 a field camp located near the head of the Scharffenbergbotnen valley was destroyed by a strong storm (Fig. 3). There are two reasonably close automatic weather stations (AWSs), AWS6 and AWS9, in the region of Scharffenbergbotnen. AWS9 is located at the summit of the regional ice field at 75°00′09″ S, 00°00′26″ E and 2900 m a.s.l., while AWS6 is about 40 km north of the Scharffenbergbotnen valley at 74°28′53″ S, 11°31′01″ W and 1160 m a.s.l. (Bintanja, 1999; Van den Broeke et al., 2005); the location of the weather stations in relation to Scharffenbergbotnen is shown in Fig. 1. Figure 4 shows the wind direction and magnitude recorded by the two AWSs over the first 22 days of 2007.

The largest wind speeds occur on the 11th and 12th days and are consistent in direction at the two AWSs, with somewhat higher wind speeds occurring, as expected, at the lower AWS6 site. However, the wind speeds in the Scharffenbergbotnen valley during the 11th and 12th days were not very high; indeed field work progressed normally on both days, with no drifting snow, and the 12th day was notably sunny and pleasant. This was a synoptic-scale event that may be classed as average conditions. However, the event of 2–3 January was extremely strong in Scharffenbergbotnen but not significant on a synoptic scale, with moderate winds and inconsistent directions at AWS6 and AWS9. Such relatively stable conditions on the higher ice sheet may actually favour the formation of a katabatic jet. Even 40 km north of the Scharffenbergbotnen valley the katabatic jet had dissipated sufficiently for there to be no trace of it in the AWS6 record. The debris from the 2–3 January storm was dispersed over much of the valley and provides traces for recirculation areas. The location where the field camp was originally placed and where a tent from the destroyed camp was found is shown in Fig. 1. This and many other items were all found on snow accumulation areas and not on the blue ice area. Existing snow and even hard firn was ripped from the BIA such that the extent of the BIA was increased by perhaps 30 % relative to that before the storm. This suggests that such storms are rather infrequent and that the BIA extent may be governed by rare stochastic events that remove the steadily accumulated snow and firn of several years. In what follows, we present a numerical model that studies the impact of a turbulent wall-bounded jet into the Scharffenbergbotnen valley that enables us to identify the areas of high wind speeds close to the ground within the valley where potentially snow can be removed.

Table 1. Physical parameter values used in the simulations. Fluid parameters were obtained for dry air at standard atmospheric pressure for a temperature of 243.15 K.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Density</td>
<td>$\rho$</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>kg m$^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acceleration by gravity</td>
<td>$f = -g\varepsilon_z$</td>
<td>9.81</td>
<td>m s$^{-2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dynamic viscosity</td>
<td>$\eta$</td>
<td>$1.57 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>Pas</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


3 Governing equations for wind flow simulations

The flow is assumed to be described by the incompressible Navier–Stokes equations

\begin{align}
\rho \left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} \right) + \nabla p - 2\mu \text{div}(\mathbf{u}) &= \rho \mathbf{f}, \\
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} &= 0,
\end{align}

where \( \rho \) stands for the constant density of the air, \( \mathbf{f} \) for the gravitational body force, \( \mathbf{u} \) denotes the velocity vector, \( \rho \) the isotropic pressure and \( \mu \) is the dynamic viscosity. The numerical values of these parameters used in the simulation are presented in Table 1. The symmetric strain rate tensor, \( \mathbf{\varepsilon} \), is given by

\[ \mathbf{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}) = \frac{1}{2} (\nabla \mathbf{u} + (\nabla \mathbf{u})^T). \]

The set of Eqs. (1) and (2) is defined in the domain \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \) for the time interval \( t \in (0, t_{\text{end}}) \).

We are well aware that katabatic winds are driven by the density differences between colder and warmer air and hence a detailed study would deserve a buoyant (hence compressible or at least Boussinesq-approximated) flow with its density coupled to the temperature field. Nevertheless, our study will show that over the last few hundred metres of the katabatic flow into the valley the inertia of the incoming jet is sufficient to produce wind-impact patterns that match the observed locations of the bare ice fields in the SBB valley well, and hence the assumption of incompressibility is appropriate for the BIA study. In Appendix A we present Eq. (1) in its non-dimensional form, accounting for changing density using a Boussinesq approximation. By an analysis of the non-dimensional groups we can demonstrate that in the case of a fully developed katabatic jet for the length scales that apply in our model, the inertial forces dominate the buoyancy forces. This shows the applicability of an incompressible model to this work.

Variational multiscale method

The modelling of turbulent flows is one of the most challenging areas in mathematics and computational fluid dynamics (CFD). Numerous ad hoc models to treat the turbulent nature of the resulting flow numerically have been proposed. The main idea of using these approaches is to dramatically reduce the number of nodes needed for solving turbulent CFD problems (Rautaheimo, 2001) in comparison to direct numerical solution. Sub-grid-scale turbulent models, such as LES models, resolve the large-scale turbulence and model the effects of sub-grid turbulence. In the case of LES the sub-grid model is obtained by spatial filtering, which imposes certain problems in particular with bounded flows.

We use the implicit Euler method for time discretization. In space, continuous piecewise isoparametric hexahedral elements are used for both the velocity and pressure.

The discretization in space is a weighted least squares stabilized Galerkin method, also known as the VMS method (Hughes, 1995; Hoffman and Johnson, 2006, 2007; Bazilevs et al., 2008). The VMS method is an alternative, yet similar, method to LES. The main difference between LES and VMS is that the latter projects the scales into the function spaces rather than filtering them by spatial averaging. Thus the VMS method avoids the problems of spatial filtering, spatial differentiation in bounded domains as well as with non-constant filter widths and the choosing the correct boundary conditions for spatially averaging at large scales (John et al., 2010).

Given the initial value \( \mathbf{u}_h^0 \), the solution \( (\mathbf{u}_h^n, p_h^n) \) for the time step \( t_n = n \Delta t, n = 1, 2, \ldots, N \), is obtained from

\begin{align}
\rho \left( \frac{\mathbf{u}_h^n - \mathbf{u}_h^{n-1}}{\Delta t} + (\mathbf{u}_h^n \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}_h^n, \mathbf{v} \right) + \int_{\mathcal{E}} 2\mu (\mathbf{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}_h^n), \mathbf{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v})) - 2\mu (\mathbf{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}_h^n), \mathbf{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v})) + \sum_{\mathcal{E}} \int_{\mathcal{E}} (\nabla p_n^n, \mathbf{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}_h^n)) + \int_{\mathcal{E}} (\nabla f^n, \mathbf{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}_h^n)) + \int_{\mathcal{E}} (\mathbf{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}_h^n), \mathbf{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v})) + \int_{\mathcal{E}} (\mathbf{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{u}_h^n), \mathbf{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v})) = 0, \\
\forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}_h, \forall q \in \mathcal{W}_h,
\end{align}

where \((\cdot, \cdot)\) denotes the \( L^2(\Omega) \) inner product and \( (\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathcal{E}} \) denotes the \( L^2(\mathcal{E}) \) inner product for the element \( \mathcal{E} \) of the mesh. \( \mathcal{V}_h^0 \) and \( \mathcal{W}_h \) represent the finite element spaces. A constant time-step size of \( \Delta t = 0.1 \) s is applied in all simulations. The stabilization parameter is given by

\[ \delta_{\mathcal{E}} = \left( \frac{1}{\Delta t} + \frac{|\mathbf{u}_h^n|}{h_{\mathcal{E}}} \right)^{-1}, \]

where \( h_{\mathcal{E}} \) represents the size of element \( \mathcal{E} \). Detailed literature on applications and the theory behind the VMS method can be found in Hughes (1995), Gravemeier (2003), Gravemeier et al. (2006) and Bazilevs et al. (2008). At each time step the nonlinear system (Eq. 3) is solved by a Picard iteration. The linearized system is solved using the generalized minimal residual Krylov subspace method (Kelley, 1995) with an incomplete LU (lower upper) factorization of the system matrix deployed as a preconditioning step.

4 Simulation set-up for Scharffenbergbotnen valley

The VMS method used for turbulent computations is implemented within the open-source multi-physics FE-code Elmer (http://www.csc.fi/elmer). Due to a large domain size combined with a turbulent flow the problem has to be solved using high-performance computing on a parallel Linux cluster.

The mesh, i.e. the discretization of the domain \( \Omega \), used for computations of the wind flow inside the valley is constructed from the digital elevation model (DEM) data of
In addition to using present-day topography, two alternative meshes were constructed: a smoothed version of the initial DEM and one based on geomorphological evidence of the ice thickness at Late Glacial Maximum (LGM), i.e. a DEM with 200 m thicker ice inside and 100 m outside the valley (Näslund et al., 2000; Hättestrand and Johansen, 2005). To approximate thicker glacial ice conditions around the valley, all non-glaciated peaks outside the valley were also smoothed. The smoothing in both variants of the original DEM was achieved by replacing the elevation value of each point in the smoothed DEM by the average of all surrounding points contained within a circle of 250 m radius in the original DEM, similar to a simple moving average algorithm.

We also allow for variation in the vertical velocity profile of the katabatic wave front as it enters the eastern border of the domain. The reference simulation profile for the normal, inwards-pointing component of the velocity is denoted as nose shaped, which is defined with respect to the height above ground, \( H \) [m] as

\[
    u_{\text{nose}}(H) \text{ [m s}^{-1}] = 2 \text{ [s}^{-1}] H - 4 \times 10^{-2} \text{ [m}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}] H^2 \\
    + 2 \times 10^{-4} \text{ [m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}] H^3.
\]  

(5)

The alternative is a parabolic profile (the same as used by Malm, 2012):

\[
    u_{\text{para}}(H) \text{ [m s}^{-1}] = 1.2 \text{ [s}^{-1}] H \\
    - 1.2 \times 10^{-2} \text{ [m}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}] H^2.
\]  

(6)

Both profiles reach from ground level to 100 m above ground. The third profile investigated is a stretched version of Eq. (5), noseL, which extends to 200 m above ground:

\[
    u_{\text{noseL}}(H) \text{ [m s}^{-1}] = 1.01 \text{ [s}^{-1}] H \\
    - 1.01 \times 10^{-2} \text{ [m}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}] H^2 \\
    + 2.53 \times 10^{-5} \text{ [m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}] H^3.
\]  

(7)

All three profiles are shown as a function of \( H \) in Fig. 6. To avoid issues with backflow at the lateral boundaries, the inflow profile is damped to zero at a distance of 1250 m from the lateral (north and south) boundaries. Instabilities imposed by the pressure field at the start of the simulation were suppressed by allowing 100 s for the inflow profile to ramp up from resting air to peak values. On the southern and northern boundaries, which are aligned with the main flow direction, the normal component of the velocity is kept at 0. The same condition applies to the boundary with the upper atmosphere, where we constrain the vertical \( (z) \) aligned velocity component. The set of boundary conditions is completed at the valley surface, \( b(x, y) \), where we impose a no-slip condition,

\[
    u|_{z=b} = 0,
\]  

(8)

SBB, which have a resolution of 100 m and are based on airborne surveys in 1985/1986 over the whole Heimefrontfjella area (copyright of Bundesamt für Kartographie und Geodäsie, Frankfurt am Main, http://www.bkg.bund.de). This is achieved by extruding a horizontal footprint mesh, which was created with the open-source mesh generator Gmsh (Geuzaine and Remacle, 2009). A horizontal resolution of 50 m is applied inside the valley where the BIASs are located, while a characteristic mesh length of 200 m is chosen for the parts of the domain closer to the side boundaries. Thus a sufficiently fine mesh in the areas of most interest can be achieved while avoiding excessive computations. As shown in Fig. 5, this footprint mesh is vertically extruded into 30 terrain-following layers up to an elevation of 3000 m. The first 10 mesh layers are concentrated into the lowest 10% of the height, applying an exponential refinement of the layers towards the lower surface. Thereby a high vertical resolution (1–2 m) at the valley bottom to account for the turbulent boundary layer close to the surface is achieved. This results in a mesh with 373 620 nodes from which 358 382 (mainly hexahedral) linear bulk elements are constructed, which are bounded by 30 516 (mainly quadrilateral) linear boundary elements. This mesh is split into 64 partitions for parallel computation.

Our simulation set-ups resemble those from Malm (2012). However, while Malm (2012) deployed initial conditions utilizing a background wind field statistically obtained from ECWMF reanalysis data, we let the katabatic wind front impact into a region of stagnant air. No significant differences in wind-impact patterns between these background fields were found.
5 Results

With the set-up discussed in the previous section, we study the impact of wind on the valley. Our main focus is to study the BIA north of the moraine inside the valley, i.e. the larger one stretching from about 435 to 439 km easting and 1722.5 and 1724 km northing indicated as inner northern BIA in Fig. 2.

A first approach is to test the original DEM with the nose-shaped profile defined in Eq. (5) and compare it to the equilibrium surface mass balance (SMB) distribution obtained from a glacier flow model simulation (Zwinger et al., 2014) of the SBB valley, using the identical surface DEM. Assuming a non-varying glacier surface, $s$, the equilibrium SMB is given by

$$\text{SMB} = \frac{\partial s}{\partial t} + u_{\text{ice}} \frac{\partial s}{\partial x} + v_{\text{ice}} \frac{\partial s}{\partial y} - w_{\text{ice}},$$

where $(u_{\text{ice}}, v_{\text{ice}}, w_{\text{ice}})^T$ are the Cartesian components of the surface ice velocity vector. The SMB distribution obtained by Zwinger et al. (2014) is depicted in Fig. 7.

The sensitivity of the wind-impact distribution with respect to the inflow profile was assessed by rerunning with the slightly altered inflow conditions, as presented in Eqs. (6) and (7). We then assess the sensitivity of the solution to bottom surface topography by using the smoothed DEM. Finally, we assess the effects of the LGM topography on the wind fields.

5.1 Variations of inflow profiles

The first simulation is conducted using the nose-shaped profile given by the cubic polynomial (Eq. 5). We run the simulation for slightly longer than 20 min of physical time. Figure 8 shows the velocity magnitude at 5 m above ground as well as on a vertical cross section cutting through the area above the northern inner BIA from east to west, displaying snapshots starting at 500 s (when the first gusts reach the valley bottom) in steps of 250 to 1250 s (the end of the simulation). An animated GIF (showing steps of 50 s) of this as well as of all runs conducted can be found in the Supplement of this article. From these snapshots it is clear that fast flow is focused on the inner BIAs. The wind speeds are consistently high above the ablation area (which largely coincides with the inner BIA) found from the glacier flow simulation, indicated by the yellow polygon in Fig. 7. In particular the close correspondence in the position of the high-velocity streak with the northern boundary of the larger inner BIA at 1250 s into the simulation is striking. Figure 9 shows the average as well as the standard deviation of the velocity for the time window from 950 to 1050 s of the simulation, with peak values for both properties concentrated over the inner northern BIA. The latter can be interpreted as a measure of velocity fluctuations on the scale of the finest mesh size (vertically in the range of metres), which in LES represent the eddies containing the highest turbulent kinetic energy (Axelsen and van Dop, 2009).

Figure 10 shows results from similar simulations with altered inflow profiles as in Eqs. (6) and (7) at 1000 s into the simulation. Although the patterns obtained with these pro-

Figure 6. Normal inflow velocities at the eastern boundary, resembling the katabatic wind front.

and a further free outflow condition imposed on the western confinement. In order to avoid instabilities, any backflow at this boundary is reset to 0, i.e.

$$u \cdot n \big|_{\text{west}} \geq 0,$$

where $n$ is the outward-pointing normal of the boundary.
**Figure 8.** Velocity magnitude 5 m above the surface and in a vertical cross section from east to west through the valley (marked by the horizontal blue line in plan views). Results from the simulation using the nose profile (Eq. 5) displayed at 500, 750, 1000 and 1250 s into the simulation. The white lines in the plan views and the black bar under the cross sections denote the positions of the BIAs. The cross sections are stretched vertically by a factor of 2. The velocity scale is truncated at 30 m s\(^{-1}\).

**Figure 9.** Statistics performed on the simulation using the nose profile (Eq. 5) using results from 950 to 1050 s (in steps of 5 s). The left panel shows the averaged velocity magnitude 5 m above the surface and in a vertical cross section from east to west through the valley (marked by the horizontal blue line in plan views). The velocity scale is truncated at 30 m s\(^{-1}\). The right panel shows the standard deviation from the average value of the velocity magnitude, which stands for a measure on the large-scale turbulence of the flow. This scale is truncated at 15 m s\(^{-1}\). The cross sections are stretched vertically by a factor of 2.
files are different from the reference run, both Fig. 10 and the animated GIFs in the Supplement show consistently increased velocity over the inner BIAs, confirming the phenomenon of redirected fast flow. Comparing the vertical positions of the flow-speed maxima in Fig. 6 with the results in Figs. 8 and 10 we can clearly see that the closer the maximum flow speed of the inflow profile is to the surface, the greater the focusing of the fast flow towards the BIA seems to be. From this we conclude that the high wind speed close to the terrain creates the effect of redirection.

5.2 Variations in the smoothness of the terrain

We are interested in how small-scale topographic features may affect the flow details and hence the impact of the DEM accuracy. To that end we smoothed the original DEM using the previously described algorithm and applied the nose-shape inflow defined by Eq. (5). The left panel in Fig. 11 (and animated GIF in the Supplement) shows the velocity magnitude 5 m above ground as well as on a vertical cross section from east to west at 1000 s into the simulation. One striking difference from all runs conducted on the original terrain is that at 1000 s into the simulation the katabatic front has advanced about 1 km further into the valley. The general im-
pression – even more supported by the series in the animated GIF than by the single snapshot – is that the areas of high-impact wind velocities are less focused on the inner BIAs than the reference run (Fig. 8).

5.3 LGM simulation

The surface elevation inside the valley of SBB may have been considerably higher (Näslund et al., 2000; Hättestrand and Johansen, 2005) at the LGM. We increased the ice thickness by a constant 200 m inside and 100 m outside the valley and smoothed the surface to resemble glaciation of present-day nunataks (i.e. ice-free areas on the bounding mountains of SBB valley). The right panel in Fig. 11 shows the distribution of the velocity magnitude 5 m above ground and on a vertical cross section from east to west. In contrast with all other simulations conducted on the present-day DEM – either in its original or smoothed form – the high-velocity winds in the LGM simulation are distributed more randomly over the valley and not focused over present-day BIAs. In fact, the highest velocities at 1000 s into the simulation are located at the north-western end of the valley, close to the position of the outer BIA.

6 Conclusions

By conducting local wind simulations above the Scharffenbergbotnen valley we show that katabatic surface jets coming from the east produce high-impact wind speeds at exactly the location of the inner blue ice area. These types of wind were probably directly experienced in the field during January 2007 when a highly localized event caused a clearing of surface firm, likely to be several years old, from underlying bare ice. During this event, simultaneously taken direct and AWS observations support the dominant role of local katabatic events rather than synoptic storm events in formation of the Scharffenbergbotnen BIA.

Sensitivity analysis to changes in vertical wind-profile shapes and DEMs revealed that the topography is mainly responsible for the distribution of the high-wind-impact features. For all simulation runs with the present-day DEM, the derived locations of both fast wind flow and recirculation areas match well with the location of the inner BIA in the Scharffenbergbotnen valley. In contrast, a simulation conducted with increased ice thickness imposed on the present-day DEM (resembling conditions at Late Glacial Maximum) revealed that snow and firm removal focused at the position of the inner BIA most likely occurred only after the lowering of the ice in the SBB valley. Only then did the present-day shape of the surrounding rocks surface from beneath the retreating and lowering ice sheet. In other words, the inner BIA at Scharffenbergbotnen is younger than the LGM. This is in agreement with the findings of Zwinger et al. (2014), who came to similar conclusion by looking at age/depth horizons of a full-stress ice flow model.

We suggest that other BIAs in similar mountain regimes can be effectively generated by katabatic jets and that these jets are produced independently of synoptic storms. It is conceivable that other BIAs may be controlled by extremely powerful synoptic storms that occur from time to time and scour snow and firm from the surface of the BIAs.
Appendix A: Non-dimensional equations of motion

To evaluate the relative importance of the inertia to the buoyant forces we introduce the thermal expansion coefficient, $\beta$, in order to define the linearized density with respect to temperature, $T$:

$$\rho(T) = \rho_0 (1 - \beta (T - T_0)). \quad (A1)$$

Here $\rho_0$ is the density at the reference temperature $T_0$. Applying the Boussinesq approximation, i.e. inserting Eq. (A1) into the right-hand side of the Navier–Stokes Eq. (1), we obtain

$$\rho_0 \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + (u \cdot \nabla)u \right) + \nabla p - 2\mu \text{div}(\varepsilon(u)) = - (1 - \beta (T - T_0)) \rho_0 g \varepsilon_z, \quad (A2)$$

reformulating the body force, $f$, and introducing the acceleration due to gravity, $f = -g \varepsilon_z$.

If we now further introduce the typical scales for velocity, $U$, length, $L$, and temperature difference, $\Delta T$, we can express the governing equations in terms of non-dimensional variables (indicated with superscripted star):

$$u = u^* U, \quad T - T_0 = (T^* - T_0^*) \Delta T, \quad t = t^* L / U, \quad p = p^* \rho U^2,$$
$$\varepsilon = \varepsilon^* U / L, \quad g = -g \varepsilon_z, \quad \nabla \rightarrow L^{-1} \nabla^*, \quad \text{div} \rightarrow L^{-1} \text{div}^*. \quad (A3)$$

These values inserted into Eq. (A2) lead to the non-dimensional form of the Navier–Stokes equations subjected to the Boussinesq approximation:

$$\frac{\partial u^*}{\partial t^*} + (u^* \cdot \nabla^*)u^* + \nabla^* p^* - Re^{-1} 2\text{div}^*(\varepsilon^*(u^*)) = -Fr^2 \varepsilon_z + (T^* - T_0^*) \frac{Gr}{Re^2} \varepsilon_z. \quad (A4)$$

If we now insert the following orders of magnitude that apply to our simulation problem,

$$L = 1000 \text{m}, \quad U = 100 \text{m s}^{-1}, \quad \Delta T = 10 \text{K}, \quad \beta = 10^{-3} \text{K}^{-1}, \quad g = 10 \text{m s}^{-2}, \quad \mu = 10^{-5} \text{Pa s}^{-1},$$
$$\rho = 1 \text{kg m}^{-3}, \quad (A5)$$
we obtain the following order for the non-dimensional groups

$$Re^{-1} = \frac{\mu}{\rho UL} = \mathcal{O}(10^{-10}),$$
$$Fr^2 = g L U^{-2} = \mathcal{O}(1),$$
$$\frac{Gr}{Re^2} = \beta \Delta T g L U^{-2} = \mathcal{O}(10^{-2}). \quad (A6)$$

The small value of the inverse of the Reynolds number, $Re^{-1}$, indicates negligibly small viscous forces in relation to inertia forces, underlining the turbulent nature of the flow. The Froude number, $Fr$, is of unity scale. This term, which is included in our equations, does not contribute to the dynamics of the flow but instead only induces a hydrostatic pressure component. The non-dimensional group, $Gr Re^{-2}$, being smaller than unity, indicates that inertia – on the length scales we are interested in – dominates buoyancy. It is important to stress the fact that as soon as we either look at larger length scales and/or initially small velocities, corresponding to a complete study of katabatic winds starting from the interior of the ice sheet, this non-dimensional group can take values significantly larger than unity. In other words, a study of the complete katabatic wind originating from the ice sheet is not feasible with an incompressible flow model, whereas the impact of an incoming jet – being dominated by its inertia – can be approximated by our approach that assumes constant density.
The Supplement related to this article is available online at doi:10.5194/tc-9-1415-2015-supplement.
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